The Impact of Violence Against Emergency Healthcare Staff on Clinical Effectiveness: A Thematic Analysis

Abstract

Workplace violence (WPV) against emergency medical personnel is a serious problem that can seriously impair therapeutic efficacy. Examining how violence affects emergency department (ED) staff performance, mental health, and patient care is the goal of this study. We conducted 25 focus group interviews with physicians and nurses working in emergency rooms at three private hospitals in Iran using a qualitative methodology. Participants were purposefully chosen to provide a range of viewpoints, and data was gathered until theoretical saturation was reached, which meant that no new topics were showing up. Three phases of coding—open, axial, and selective—were used to evaluate the data using thematic analysis. The transcripts were used to identify preliminary notions during the open coding phase. Relationships between these ideas were then established via axial coding, and their integration into overarching themes was aided using selective coding. Twelve major themes were identified as a result of this thorough process: Decision-Making Efficiency, Quality of Patient Care Delivery, Communication and Team Collaboration, Clinical Error Rates and Risk Management, Workplace Morale and Professional Commitment, Mental Health and Psychological Resilience, Staff Retention and Turnover Intentions, Patient Safety and Incident Reporting Practices, Time Management and Workflow Disruptions, Empathy and Compassion Fatigue, Leadership Dynamics and Crisis Response, Continuity of Care and Patient Satisfaction. The results demonstrate the intricate and wide-ranging effects of violence on medical professionals as well as the standard of patient care. By providing a thorough, in-depth knowledge of how WPV influences clinical efficacy in emergency situations, this study adds to the body of current material. The knowledge acquired can guide the creation of focused treatments and regulations to lessen the effects of violence, promote employee wellbeing, and increase patient outcomes in stressful medical settings.

Keywords: Violence, Workplace violence (WPV), Emergency Department, Clinical Effectiveness, Thematic Analysis

1. Introduction

Because developing nations have particular difficulties that might increase the frequency and severity of such instances, it is imperative to look into violence against emergency healthcare workers there. Resources, understaffing, congested ERs, and inadequate security measures plague many poor nations' healthcare systems, which can swiftly lead to tense situations. Further factors that may increase the likelihood of violence include sociopolitical instability, cultural stigmas associated with healthcare, and insufficient legal safeguards for medical practitioners. The consequences are especially harsh in these situations since violence not only jeopardizes the performance and well-being of already overworked medical personnel, but it also directly jeopardizes the standard of patient care in systems that aren't able to handle such disturbances. Developing focused treatments that fortify healthcare systems, safeguard personnel, and enhance clinical results in settings where every professional and resource is vital requires an understanding of this problem in developing nations.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), workplace violence (WPV) is a severe problem that occurs when employees are abused, threatened, or assaulted in the course of their

work, including while they are traveling to and from work, and that either directly or indirectly jeopardizes their safety, well-being, or health (Xiao et al, 2024). Physical assault (PA), which includes acts of physical contact like biting or beating; emotional abuse (EA), which includes verbal abuse like swearing; threats (T), which include using physical, verbal, or written force to instill fear of negative outcomes; verbal sexual harassment (VSH), which includes unwanted sexual remarks; and sexual abuse (SA), which includes unwanted touching or any other type of unwanted sexual behavior, are the different categories into which WPV can be divided. According to Vento et al. (2020), worker safety is significantly impacted by the rising issue of violence in the healthcare industry. Compared to those in other professions, healthcare workers (HCWs) are more susceptible to violence (Özdamar Ünal et al, 2022; Xiao et al, 2022). Violence against healthcare professionals has a negative impact on their productivity, psychological health, and confidence in supervisors and coworkers (Xiao et al, 2024).

Emergency departments (EDs) have a very dynamic work environment (Schneider et al, 2019). It is known to be a stressful and hectic environment because of the high workload, the nature of care, the unpredictable events with little control over the workflow, the high patient load, and the long working hours. These factors increase the risk of encountering violence and aggression from patients and visitors (Hamdan & Ab Hamra, 2015). The incidence of workplace violence against healthcare personnel in emergency departments has been reported by studies conducted worldwide. Studies carried both in Turkey (Talas et al., 2011) and the United States (Gacki-Smith et al., 2009) have brought attention to the startlingly high rate of violence in these environments. Similar issues have also been raised by research done in China (Lei et al., 2022), Hong Kong (Cheung & Yip, 2017), Australia (Kennedy, 2005), Iran (Noorullahi et al., 2022), and other nations (Alobaidan et al., 2024).

A higher frequency of workplace violence is linked to a number of risk factors. Being a female healthcare professional, working in a culture and customs that are different from one's own, or working with patients who are mentally ill, alcoholic, or drug addicts (Saarela & Isotalus, 1999). A higher risk of violence may result from environmental workplace variables such as insufficient security on the premises, easy availability to potentially harmful items like guns and sharp objects, and staff shortages during shifts that lengthen patient wait times (Levin et al, 1998). Furthermore, being exposed to pressures at work may increase the likelihood of abuse and aggressiveness. Work overload, working alone, a lack of team or supervisor assistance, poor staff communication, and working in a high-crime location are a few examples. Workplace violence can result in a variety of psychological and physical effects. The impacts of violence vary and often rely on the type, frequency, and intensity of the violence. For instance, physical injuries might range from fractured bones to bruises. The majority of healthcare professionals experienced various emotional disturbances, including persistent anger, fear, despair, anxiety, and sleep disturbances. Additionally, verbal and physical abuse can harm a worker's career, and regrettably, the majority of victims stated that they intended to quit their positions (Alshahrani et al, 2021).

Meanwhile, it was shown that the incidence of medical accidents was directly linked to the experience of aggression by patients or their caregivers in the emergency department, which had a detrimental impact on ER nurses (Hassankhani et al, 2018). Because of the nature of their work, emergency department nurses must conduct nursing duties in close proximity to patients, which exposes them to verbal and physical abuse from patients or their caregivers. Emergency

room nurses experience frequent outbursts from patients or their caregivers, which depletes their enthusiasm and leaves them feeling powerless (Nam, 2017; Sachdeva et al, 2019). According to Kim et al. (2022), ER nurses' coping strategies pertaining to violent events enable them to react constructively to stressful circumstances since they are more resilient.

Clinicians are affected by workplace AV in a variety of ways, including decreased quality of life and professional performance, as well as emotions of worry, anger, and guilt (Mento et al., 2020). Patient treatment may potentially be impacted by AV events. According to a 2020 online poll on the impact of workplace violence on nurses in the US, 42 out of 57 respondents said that exposure to workplace violence has affected the way they cared for patients (Hollywood & Phillips, 2020). Reduced job performance was the most frequent outcome of being exposed to workplace violence, and burnout symptoms were statistically more common, according to a 2022 study of the trends, causes, and effects of violence against medical practitioners worldwide (Caruso et al., 2022).

Johnson et al. (2024) conducted eight semi-structured focus groups with six to ten participants from five hospital emergency departments. Physicians, nurses, and workers in health and safety were among the participants. Prior to theme analysis, focus groups were audio recorded and transcribed. There were four primary motifs found: (i) workplace violence in healthcare is becoming more common and challenging to control; (ii) local workplace violence management strategies are thought to be insufficient; (iii) systemic problems make workplace violence worse; and (iv) employees feel unprepared to handle how workplace violence affects their personal health.

Violence against emergency medical personnel has a direct influence on the health of medical personnel as well as the standard of patient care, which can seriously impair clinical efficacy. Healthcare professionals who are subjected to verbal or physical abuse frequently suffer from increased stress, burnout, and emotional exhaustion, all of which impair their ability to do their jobs effectively. This disturbance can lead to slower decision-making, less attention to detail, and a decreased capacity to effectively react to patients' needs in high-stress settings like emergency rooms. Healthcare workers' capacity to provide high-quality treatment declines as they deal with the psychological and emotional effects of violence, which eventually affects patient outcomes. Patients' health and safety may be at risk as a result of treatment delays, misunderstandings, and even medical mistakes brought on by emotional discomfort that prevents one from fully participating in medical operations or concentrating on patient care (Vrablik et al., 2019).

Additionally, workplace violence can have an impact on hiring and staff retention, which makes the problem of clinical efficacy even worse. Increased absenteeism, employee turnover, and challenges in retaining a steady, seasoned workforce are frequently caused by high levels of violence and its effects on staff mental health. The remaining employees are under more stress and have a heavier burden when healthcare personnel quit or take frequent sick days as a result of the violence. Effective patient care in emergency situations depends on team chemistry and teamwork, both of which are adversely impacted by this cycle of burnout and attrition. The clinical efficacy of the emergency room is further undermined by understaffed or overburdened medical staff who are less able to provide prompt, thorough treatment. Therefore, addressing violence and its effects is essential for the long-term effectiveness and quality of patient treatment in emergency medical settings, as well as for the health of healthcare professionals.

2. Methodology

Research Design

This study employed qualitative research approach to explore clinical efficacy being subjected to violence faced by emergency medical personnel. Given the multifaceted and specialized nature of workplace violence in relation to healthcare workers a qualitative technique was considered ideal to gain depth of what on the periphery of health related psychological and professional impacts from perspectives of health care workers. Rather, because this would allow us to identify common patterns (themes) in qualitative data, thematic analysis was chosen as the principal technique for data analysis. This allowed us to have a detailed understanding of experiences views and coping strategies for health care workers exposed to workplace violence in emergency settings.

Data Collection

Data were collected from focus group interviews among three Iranian private hospitals. Through purposeful sampling we collected a sample of 25 with those both having relevant and direct experience; including Emergency Department Physicians and Nurses in order represent diverse population. That is the scheme using Google+: Hospital One had eight, Hospital Two had eight, and Hospital Three pool had nine. The selection criteria were based on the labor, ergonomics (environment) exposure of workplace violence as well familiarity with dynamics in emergency room workers who had worked for at least a year.

In order to elicit the experiences, perceptions and the perceived effect of violence on their performance as professionals; Mental health and quality of patient care which influenced appraisal of semi-structured discussion guide in open ended questions during focus group discussions.

Participants were included in the study with the participants were allowed to audio record (if consented) each 60–90-minutes session. Discussions continued until theoretical saturation, which means that no new themes or insights would emerge from the data for each hospital.

Data Analysis

Following a coding procedure that includes open, axial, and selective coding, the gathered data were subjected to theme analysis. The focus group transcripts were examined several times throughout the open coding phase in order to pinpoint and categorize important ideas, instances, and recurrent themes pertaining to how violence affects therapeutic efficacy. During this first stage, the data was divided into discrete sections and similarities and differences were compared. The open codes were analyzed to find linkages and correlations between categories during the axial coding step. This stage assisted in arranging the data into more general themes that represented the underlying trends and dynamics of how emergency medical personnel are impacted by workplace violence. Understanding the relationships between various codes and how they all affected clinical efficacy was the main goal. The main themes were honed and combined throughout the selective coding stage to create a cohesive story that clarified the study's main finding. Finding the underlying themes that encapsulated the participants' experiences and connecting them to the more general study questions were the tasks of this last stage. Constant comparison strategies were used throughout the investigation to guarantee the topic development was deep and consistent.

Ethical Considerations

To make sure that all methods followed ethical research guidelines, the appropriate institutional review board granted ethical approval for this study. Participants received comprehensive information about the goals, methods, and rights of the study, including the freedom to discontinue participation at any moment without facing any repercussions. All participants gave their informed consent before participating in the focus group talks. Anonymity and confidentiality were rigorously upheld over the whole study. All audio recordings and transcripts were safely preserved, with only the study team having access, and participants' identities were safeguarded by using code numbers rather than their true names. To promote candid and open sharing of experiences, the talks were held in a confidential and secure setting. In the event that talking about experiences of workplace violence caused emotional discomfort, participants were also provided with psychological assistance services.

3. Findings

The data processing procedure was built upon systematic methodology using three phases of coding: open coding, axial coding and selective coding. Data are coded and separated into distinct parts as a first step called open coding, where the codes are compared to codes in order to identify similarities and difference. Then, we analyzed the interview transcripts line by line to identify first codes which encapsulated substantial constructs of participants' experiences. To avoid the tightness of codes being too well-defined and limiting predetermined categories, each section was named to reflect significant ideas relating violence and clinical outcome.

Second step was basically to identify links between the primary codes as finding linkages became called the axial coding. It meant sorting codes into piles based on similarities, refining those codes and mining their interactions with circumstances, interactions phenomenon. In contrast, this technique would organize the data in somewhat more coherent patterns the web-office violence during emergency situations at work. Finally, they were combined and consolidated into higher-order themes (via selective coding) as categories. This second step was undertaken to ensure that all other categories were meaningfully related to the central themes, and the core categories that spoke to the main phenomena of interest were selected. We subsequently identified 12 themes through this process that mapped out the multi-layered impacts of violence against emergency medical personnel on the clinical work.

1. Decision-Making Efficiency

Clinical efficiency includes managing to make decisions almost quickly in a clinical situation hence Decision-making efficiency is very much important, especially in emergences the decision either you live or die by. Workplace violence is a potent disruptor to cognitive functions and decision-making accuracy in nurses; it compromises their judgement practice. Violent confrontations heighten stress of the psychological nature thereby impairing anxiety and impairs effective quick information processing. This weight on the mind muddles up the clear headedness that enables serious medical decisions, and causes a patient to suffer for it. Further, the anxiety of violence repeating itself forces healthcare staff into a highly alert state in which mental resources are being managed from self protection activities, rather than clinical reasonings. This can create hesitation or doubt because contributors may become overly vigilant or preoccupied. collectively undermines the clinical speed and quality o of decision making as

a result, because of in large emergency it is very essential that we respond quickly. It also affects the decision-making processes within teams and consequently departmental performance as a whole. Alone, Decision-making performance is further under the shadow of the emotional aftermath of violence and trauma such as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and burnout. These conditions attack memory and ability to focus, even more so solving problems that increase the difficulty for staff members under stress. This wreck long term may later result in chronic decision fatigue, leading clinical judgement to decay with frequent exposure from the most encounter stress. This not only endangers patient safety but also exacerbates the emotional toll on healthcare providers.

Participant Quotations:

"After that incident with the aggressive patient, I found myself double-checking every minor decision. It slowed me down and made me doubt my clinical judgment." (Emergency Nurse)

"Violence makes you anxious even before starting your shift. That anxiety clouds your thinking, especially when you need to act fast." (Emergency Physician)

"There were times I hesitated to make quick calls because I was distracted, thinking about the last time a patient's family member threatened me." (Emergency Nurse)

2. Quality of Patient Care Delivery

Violence against emergency healthcare workers impairs the quality of the care provided to patients. Emotional Exhaustion – The rush on the immediate aftermath of violent events generally translates to a Healthcare Provider who is less able to respond empathically with the next patient. Care that lacks an emotional connection can be less compassionate, with an obligation to focus care from full patient-oriented interactions to just completing clinical practice. Decreasing the caregiver-patient bond degrades patient satisfaction and may adversely affect recovery outcomes. Worsen on another level the fatigue and psychological toll of occupational violence results to decreased vigilance which may contribute to clinical error. Workers on the edge of exhaustion and stress may miss important signs, misinterpret diagnostic information or ignore established clinical pathways. In totality these errors compound patient safety and serve to destroy the fabric of competent care. Precision is of the essence in emergency settings, and lapses in vigilance such as this could result in serious consequences. It is not only the problem of individual work performance but also has a general effect on wider health care situation. Violence creates a culture of fear and suspicion in emergency departments, making staff-patient relationship deteriorate. Defensive medicine acts as a compelling incentive for healthcare professionals to prevent and hinder as much harm as they can to patients without actually optimizing care goals. This move has the potential to efface a patient-centered approach to care in favor of transactional care which has been demonstrated to reduce quality and effectiveness of medical services.

Participant Quotations:

"After experiencing verbal abuse, I found it hard to connect with patients. I just wanted to finish my shift without any issues." (Emergency Nurse)

"Mistakes happen when you're distracted. I once missed a critical symptom because I was still shaken from an earlier confrontation." (Emergency Physician)

"The constant fear of aggression makes you defensive, less open to truly listening to patients, which definitely affects the care you provide." (Emergency Nurse)

3. Communication and Team Collaboration

Clinical effectiveness requires effective communication and teamwork — especially in a high-stress setting, such as the emergency department. Violence against healthcare providers undermines these core functions by creating a stressor, fear and contempt. Personal safety concerns fritter away a team's capacity to communicate effectively more importantly. The breakdown of communication will lead to miscommunication, misinterpretation of both clinical and non-clinical information which is why patient care errors happen.

Violence in the workplace may reduce interpersonal relationships among healthcare personnel. The psychological repercussions of violence can force a victim withdraw from society and therefore limit participation in open dialogue and collaborative problem solving. Information cannot flow through this siloed structure and the working cohesion required for efficient teamwork is diminished. The dire effects of team dynamics can spell disaster, especially in emergency where teamwork matters the most.

Violence also distorts leadership style in emergency team setting from the perspective of leaders. Violence-susceptible leaders may be less effective in exercising their authority and providing clear directions during critical times. A void of leadership support following violent events can also increase the staff's feelings of disconnection from colleagues, thereby lessening team cohesiveness. Gradually these dynamics degrade the collaborative culture that enables high-functioning emergency care.

Participant Quotations:

"After a violent incident, it's hard to trust that your team has your back. Communication becomes strained because everyone is dealing with their own stress." (Emergency Nurse)

"When you're constantly on edge, it's easy to snap at colleagues, which only makes the work environment more tense and less cooperative." (Emergency Physician)

"I noticed that after aggressive incidents, we stopped discussing cases openly during handovers. Everyone just wanted to get through it quickly and leave." (Emergency Nurse)

4. Clinical Error Rates and Risk Management

The consequence of violence against emergency care workers have far reaching effects on clinical error rates and the utility of risk management processes. Inevitably, many are subjected to a large number of stressful situations when exposed violent incidents which can hinder cognitive processes like attention memory and decision making. This cognitive burn-out will lead to mistakes making in patient assessments, medication administration and the accomplishment of procedural tasks.

Furthermore, the speculation of violence can induce staff to carry out urgent procedures at a pace which could reduce exposure time in likely situations frustrated by not allowing thoroughness and quality in care. Violence is always there as a second threat which infringes on the function of risk mitigation procedures. Emotional exhaustion or feeling they are in a futility situation may lead safety procedural become desensitized and/ or simply bypassed on by staff. This is a blind spot leading to insufficient patient observation, hampered documentation and non-compliance with infection control. Additionally, the stress of violence

can foster an atmosphere where staff perceive that reporting near misses or adverse events will lead to blame or retribution, discouraging those with knowledge from reporting adverse events and eroding the capacity for an organization to learn from adverse events. Culture of openness and commitment to continuous learning sounds good but very difficult to sustain not in violent setups esp as far as risk management is concerned. The study identified how people's exposure to aggression informed their clinical performance. For example, a nurse from Hospital One noted, "*After facing verbal threats from a patient's relative, I found myself double-checking medications less frequently, not because I didn't care, but because I was mentally drained and anxious to move on to the next task quickly."* Similarly, a doctor from Hospital Two shared, *"The stress from a recent physical altercation made me second-guess my clinical decisions, and I hesitated longer than usual, which could have delayed critical interventions."*

5. Workplace Morale and Professional Commitment

Violence against emergency healthcare personnel deteriorates workforce morale and professionalism to a great extent. The more victims and aggressiveness a person encounters, the more disenfranchised, dissatisfied, and dissatisfied they will feel at work. Healthcare professionals' inherent drive to deliver patient-centered care is destroyed by such unpleasant feelings. When staff are undervalued and made feel unsafe, the commitment to the organization and its mission slims down and often results in higher turnover rates, absenteeism. The compromised morale of staff compromises their professional commitment for that same employee may have to act with a more detached or even defensive stance to protect him/her emotionally. Of course, as an evolutionary mechanism this makes sense but can lead to lower quality of interactions with the patient and less patient-oriented healthcare. The strains of recurring violent encounters constantly build, leading to burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization & loss of personal fulfillment) and decrease in both professional engagement and clinical skills. Participants vividly described the emotional toll of violence on their commitment to their roles. A nurse recounted, *"I used to feel proud of my work in the ER, but after being shouted at and threatened repeatedly, I started questioning why I'm still in this job. It's hard to stay motivated when you're constantly on edge."* A physician echoed this sentiment, stating, *"Violence has changed how I view my profession. I find myself less enthusiastic about coming to work, and that affects not just me but also how I interact with patients and colleagues."*

6. Mental Health and Psychological Resilience

Workplace violence has an enormous impact on the mental health and psychological resilience among staff at emergency healthcare facilities. Trauma, exposure to aggression can cause a constellation mental health problem including anxiety, depression PTSD & chronic stress. Second, these conditions do not only cause personal suffering, but also clinical performance — adding to the difficulty and possibly even making impossible for certain patients to focus on go-mode, make decisions under pressure or maintain professional capacity. The capacity to adapt to his or her stress and bounce back from adversity, called psychological resilience is essential for emergency healthcare staff. But with the relentlessness of violence, it begins to erode this resilience over time and so people have an increasing hard time in dealing with everyday stress and normal functioning of life. Staff feel alienated and unable to seek help due

that stigma, or fear for professional consequences this problem is even further entangled by a lack of adequate support systems in healthcare institutions.

Participants shared poignant accounts of how violence impacted their mental health. A doctor from Hospital Two remarked, *"After being physically assaulted by a patient, I started experiencing panic attacks whenever I heard loud noises in the ER. It's like my body was always on high alert, even when there was no immediate threat."* Similarly, a nurse described, *"The constant verbal abuse wore me down. I became irritable at home, couldn't sleep well, and felt emotionally numb most of the time. It's hard to care for others when you're struggling to hold yourself together."* These experiences underscore the urgent need for comprehensive mental health support and resilience-building programs for healthcare professionals facing workplace violence.

7. Staff Retention and Turnover Intentions

The challenge of violence against emergency healthcare staff poses a direct threat to the professionals' retention and intentions of leave of the healthcare centers. Continuous exposure to aggressive incidents can produce job dissatisfaction, the exhaustion of emotional and sense of professional fulfillment that decreases. These features are the one that together results in high turnover rates as staff are looking for jobs in safer places with less stress. The psychological impact of workplace violence is often emphasized such the intrinsic motivation that initially draws professionals to emergency care is engulfed by fear of such violence and thus, reconsideration of long-term career paths within the field happens among these professions.

High turnover rates are one of the elements in a chain that has a negative influence on the clinical efficiency, as it interrupts team cohesion, continuity of care, and institutional knowledge. The replacement of employees is needed to be a regular occurrence and therefore training and recruiting are continuously carried out which in turn takes away financial resources for patient care and also can reduce overall department efficiency. Furthermore, the loss of the experienced personnel implies the occurrence of gaps in clinical expertise which will make patient outcomes poorer and increase the workload for remaining staff, thus intensifying a cycle of stress and burnout. Participants highlighted their concerns about job stability and career longevity in light of workplace violence. A nurse from Hospital Three expressed, *"I've thought about leaving emergency care more than once after being threatened by a patient's family. It's not just the fear; it's the feeling that no one values our safety."* Similarly, a doctor from Hospital One noted, *"I love emergency medicine, but the constant aggression makes me question if it's worth the toll on my mental health. I've started exploring other specialties where the risk of violence is lower."*

8. Patient Safety and Incident Reporting Practices

The problem of violence against emergency healthcare staff no doubt has an effect on the patient safety and the incident reporting practice as well. Emergency personnel are less able to pay attention to the patients if they are not practiced due to concerns for their personal safety. Consequently, the operator's task is the topic that may be addressed carefully. Thus, although the mistake occurs, the recent issue already exists. And it is beyond, sometimes, a little bit of being the inclination toward the inability to identify symptoms, and to be correct. And, thus, it needs far more precautions so it has the opportunity to keep patients' safety by all means. In

particular, the experience of violence at various levels can result in poor decisions, which ultimately make medical responses more difficult.

Furthermore, the horrors of violence lead to the non-reporting of safety incidents. Employees may be afraid of the consequences, have doubts as for reporting systems or simply believe that nobody would care about their problems. Problems with the reporting and hence the overall functioning of the healthcare institution are highlighted, as underreporting makes it impossible to pinpoint systemic maladministration, take corrective measures, and develop a culture of safety. This, on the other hand, removes a significant number of threats behavior or atmosphere which can always lead to innovation and learning. The maintaining of the counseling system gives the necessary support for the whole system.

Participants provided insights into how violence has shaped their approach to safety and reporting. A nurse from Hospital Two shared, *"After being verbally abused by a patient, I was so shaken that I missed documenting a critical change in their condition. It wasn't intentional, but my mind was elsewhere."* Another participant, a physician from Hospital Three, remarked, *"I've stopped reporting minor incidents because nothing changes. It feels like the administration only cares when there's a lawsuit involved."* These testimonies highlight the urgent need for supportive reporting mechanisms and a robust safety culture that prioritizes staff well-being alongside patient care.

9. Time Management and Workflow Disruptions

Violence at the workplace is a major threat to the management of time and efficiency in emergency departments. In some cases, health workers have to promptly deal with turbulent incidents at their workplaces, thereby postponing the important matters thereby delaying patient care services. The reality is that resolving fights, managing their aftermath, and preparing reports of the incidents are all time-consuming processes that can be otherwise used for performing patient treatment. Consequently, the successive chaos causes patients to wait longer, reduces patient throughput, and the health care team is put under more strain.

Besides, the expectation of the possibility of violence among employees might cause a change in how they organize tasks. They might perform surgeries in a hurry without paying much attention to them, thus neglecting the quality and accuracy of the patients' care. On the contrary, members of the staff might take extra time with the tasks to ensure safety thus dragging the process of workflow. Both of the cases are the result of challenges to the employees which means that their quality relentlessly declines to bring about patient satisfaction

Participants described how violence affected their daily routines and time management. A nurse from Hospital One commented, *"When a situation escalates, it throws off the whole shift. You spend hours dealing with one aggressive patient, and everyone else's care gets delayed."* Similarly, a doctor from Hospital Two noted, *"After a violent outburst, it's hard to get back into a rhythm. You lose focus, and simple tasks take longer because your mind is still processing what happened."* These accounts underscore the need for effective violence prevention strategies and support systems to mitigate workflow disruptions and maintain clinical efficiency.

10. Empathy and Compassion Fatigue

Empathy is one of the most critical elements when it comes to hospitals that work well, for it helps the providers to build up a relationship with the patients on a human level to set up trust that in turn leads to a better treatment of the patient. But the surroundings where one is recurrently exposed to violence cripples this power after all of this, which comes to what you call compassion fatigue— a situation where the emotional storage is emptied out due to continuous stress and trauma. Health workers who are those who are always the first to respond to critical cases are the ones to particularly worry about when they are challenged with not only clinical but also the emotional difficulty of patients who are hurt in accidents and at the same time they have to face violent situations.

But, it is not the only person that is affected by the so-called compassion fatigue since it also has a bearing on the team's dynamics and the overall clinical effectiveness. Staff members, who are running out of empathy, may get distant, become less communicative and have difficulties in sticking to patient-centered care, which in the long run may cause lower patient satisfaction and clinical errors. This complete depletion of the staff in terms of emotions results in a low level of interpersonal interactions that are needed in the doctor-patient relationship for accurate diagnosis, patient compliance and therapeutic relationships, which further hampers the holistic approach that is always required at emergency settings.

Moreover, compassion fatigue can create a cyclical effect within healthcare environments. As staff become emotionally exhausted, their ability to support colleagues diminishes, fostering a culture of burnout and disengagement. This negative environment can exacerbate stress levels, reduce morale, and impair the organization's capacity to respond effectively to patient needs and staff welfare.

Participant Quotations:

"After facing aggression from a patient's family, I found it hard to connect emotionally with the next patient. It felt like a protective shield, but it affected my care quality." — Emergency Room Nurse

"Violence makes you numb. You stop feeling as deeply because it's the only way to keep going." — Emergency Physician

"Repeated incidents of verbal abuse have made me less patient and empathetic, which is not the kind of doctor I wanted to be." — Emergency Medical Doctor

11. Leadership Dynamics and Crisis Response

The effective leadership holds great significance in the challenging conditions of emergency healthcare, especially during serious and aggravated crises due to workplace violence. Powerful leadership can diminish the painful impacts of violence by nurturing resilience, offering emotional support, and ensuring effective communication links throughout and after violent cases. Leaders perform a vital role in shaping the atmosphere for peace-making, staff morale, and the security system building.

Nevertheless, violence toward the health care personnel might impair leadership dynamics. Managers might come across the problems of conflicting administrative obligations with the necessity of comforting the emotional pain of the personnel and as a result may prone to not be able to manage both immediate and long-term consequences of violent incidents effectively.

Leaders being inefficient in these contexts may agitate feelings of insecurity among the personnel, diminish their trust in the organizational supportive mechanisms, and also deteriorate the capability of crisis management.

Conversely, the directive leadership that safeguards psychological well-being, organizes talk-down sessions, and knows, the wide-ranging anti-violence plans can improve unit team cohesion and medical staff clinical effectiveness. Leaders that openly back the welfare of the staff and at the same time exhibit the model of the adaptive coping styles create the environments of resilience and professional integrity; these are the requisite elements for the preservation of high-quality patient care.

Participant Quotations:

"After a violent incident, our supervisor organized a debriefing session. It helped, but I wished it happened more consistently." — Emergency Department Nurse

"Good leadership makes a difference. When our manager acknowledges the trauma, it feels like we're not alone." — Emergency Room Physician

"Sometimes, I feel leadership doesn't fully grasp the impact of violence on us, focusing more on policies than on personal support." — Emergency Department Doctor

12. Continuity of Care and Patient Satisfaction

The seamless flow of care is the foundation of patient contentment and medical effects, ensuring that patients are offered consistent and concerted treatment throughout their healthcare experience. Assault on healthcare staff disrupts this continuance by increasing the staff with more absences, higher turnover rates and more cases where the staff is emotionally disengaged. Such interruptions bring to the image of fragmented care, where the most important information may be missed between the shifts, and the needs of the patient may not be adequately met.

The personal cost of violence might result in diminished attentive and thorough patient assessments, that might also directly impact care quality. Staff members might unconsciously come up with different ways to avoid patients to steer clear of possibly confrontational situations, which would result in hurried consultations and missing important factors. This deficit, in health care, does not only threaten the health of patients but also diminishes the trust and satisfaction of patients with the healthcare system.

Besides that, environments harassed by too much violence can nurture a culture of fear as well as defensiveness among healthcare providers. This change in culture takes away from the medical purpose of the patient–provider relationships. The change reduces empathy and thereby makes the health care professionals less effective in communicating, besides the issue of patient-centered care. Therefore, patient satisfaction scores are dropping and it does not only show the performance of the individual provider but point out the problems across the whole healthcare organization as well.

Participant Quotations:

"When a colleague is assaulted, it affects all of us. We're distracted, worried, and that impacts how thoroughly we care for our patients." — Emergency Nurse

"Patients sense when we're not fully present. After a violent event, it's hard to stay focused and engaged." — Emergency Physician

"Frequent staff turnover due to violence means patients don't have consistent caregivers, which affects their trust and satisfaction." — Emergency Department Doctor

Discussion and Conclusions

Researchers hypothesized that through a psychological contract, organizational assistance led to more trusting connections between the employer and employee. With implicit reciprocal obligations, the contract is reciprocal. Employee disengagement and distrust may arise from agreement violations, such as leadership's lack of support, which might have a negative impact on nurses' job performance (Powell et al., 2023).

Through this research study, our team was able to find 12 different main sectors that violence might affect in emergency departments. Some of the identified themes are like Mental Health and Psychological Resilience, Workplace Morale and Professional Commitment, and Staff Retention and Turnover Intentions, that are already reported. For example, Xiao et al. (2024) and Alshahrani et al. (2021) underlined the fact that WPV has serious negative effects on healthcare workers such as anxiety, depression, and burnout, which is in line with our participants' account of emotional exhaustion and reduced resilience. Also, work-related violence has a positive effect on staff turnover intentions and the decrease in their job performance notes Caruso et al. (2022), alongside a rise in their burnout rates.

Our section of Patient Care Delivery is almost the same as the findings of Hollywood & Phillips (2020). They wrote a paper about the negative impacts of WPV on patient care which caused poor outcomes. Both of the studied groups shared that they faced stress and emotional fatigue due to violent events which led to their inability to provide the patient with an attentive and sympathetic type of care. Poor patient satisfaction was the result.

While a good number of our discoveries are in concurrence with the previous related studies, a few of them provide new insights or elaborate on them. The study established the Leadership Dynamics and Crisis Response as a main issue, this thing emphasized how a good leader can either solve the problem or worsen the effect on the clinical performance made by WPV. Certainly, the authors humble Johnson et al. (2024) for bringing up the systemic issues and management inadequacies but our results explore rather the leadership styles that directly impact the staff resilience and incident response efficacy.

The novel introduction of the theme Empathy and Compassion Fatigue is another topic that is worth your attention. Though the concept of compassion fatigue is generally accepted in the healthcare literature, our survey reveals that it is specifically associated with repeated exposure to WPV in the emergency department setting, indicating how threatening behavior leads to the ablation of the emotional capacities of health workers over time. Our findings illustrated that the connection is not clearly exposed in the previous document, thus, it is a fresh highlight to the menace of WPV.

Our depiction of Time Management and Workflow Disruptions and Continuity of Care and Patient Satisfaction as independent themes also strengthens the insight on WPV's operational aspect. Hassankhani et al. (2018) and Vrablik et al. (2019) talk about the association between WPV and medical errors in their publications, however, our study describes in a more detailed way the violent behavior that disrupts the course of health care and work performance, hence, it has a more systemically based error besides personal clinical errors.

The sound working environment for the staff in emergency departments is the foundation for the clinical efficiency and the employee well-being in the healthcare program. Finding the solutions to the negative aspects of workplace violence demands a multi-dimensional,

comprehensive approach that touches on both the systemic and individual-level issues, by incorporating the 12 integration factors.

To lessen the negative implications of violence on decision-making abilities, patient care quality, and error rates of clinical performance, then it is necessary to provide a safety culture and supporting environment in institutions. Enforcing evidence-based protocols, together with regular trainings in the de-escalation of the situation, can help employees manage aggressive behaviors of clients more effectively. Moreover, crisis decision-making skills can be improved through simulation-based training, whereby staff can think and take decisions logically under pressure, leading to fewer errors and better patient outcomes.

Communication and team collaboration are also important strategies. The development of clear communication routes, fostering teamwork among different health professionals and using post-critical debriefing sessions as a tool can lead to a more cohesive environment. This approach not only fosters patient safety and incident reporting practices but it also creates a sympathetic environment where staff can discuss their issues and feel like they are heard. Thus, it brings positive workplace morale and professional commitment.

Dealing with mental health and being psychologically resistant as the factors of coping with such problems require the active actions in the form of access to counseling services, stress reduction programs, and peer support to be given. Making mental health support a normal part of the culture is one way to stigma reduction and the maintenance of emotional wellness. This kind of change can also decrease the possibility of the compassion and empathy of the healthcare workers declining, which further enables them in giving the immediate standard of patient care.

Creating a positive job environment, which includes competitive pay, career growth opportunities, and recognition of staff, may affect retention and turnover tendencies. The top management leadership style is an important factor; the managers that have confidence and sympathy, and transparency, together with good crisis management skills can profoundly affect the staff's happiness level and thus decrease the leaving rate of the employees.

Structural changes, such optimizing staff-to-patient ratios, simplifying administrative duties, and leveraging technology to lessen burden, are necessary to improve time management and minimize workflow disruptions. Burnout can be avoided and prolonged clinical performance can be guaranteed with effective scheduling methods that provide enough downtime.

The stability and well-being of the healthcare personnel are directly related to continuity of treatment and patient satisfaction. Patient experiences and results may be improved by employing tactics including patient-centered care models, ongoing quality improvement programs, and feedback systems. Furthermore, the general ambiance of emergency rooms may be enhanced by creating a courteous and secure workplace for both employees and patients.

References

Alobaidan, F., Al-Bazroun, M. I., Al Aman, H., Al Jaroudi, S., Al Qattan, A., Hbiesheh, A., & Al Nassar, H. (2024). Emergency department violence: a growing challenge for healthcare workers in Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*, *16*(1).

Alshahrani, M., Alfaisal, R., Alshahrani, K., Alotaibi, L., Alghoraibi, H., Alghamdi, E., ... & Aljumaan, M. (2021). Incidence and prevalence of violence toward health care workers in emergency departments: a multicenter cross-sectional survey. *International journal of emergency medicine*, *14*, 1-8.

Caruso, R., Toffanin, T., Folesani, F., Biancosino, B., Romagnolo, F., Riba, M. B., ... & Grassi, L. (2022). Violence against physicians in the workplace: trends, causes, consequences, and strategies for intervention. *Current psychiatry reports*, *24*(12), 911-924.

Cheung, T., & Yip, P. S. (2017). Workplace violence towards nurses in Hong Kong: prevalence and correlates. *BMC public health*, *17*, 1-10.

Gacki-Smith, J., Juarez, A. M., Boyett, L., Homeyer, C., Robinson, L., & MacLean, S. L. (2009). Violence against nurses working in US emergency departments. *JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration*, *39*(7/8), 340-349.

Hamdan, M., & Abu Hamra, A. A. (2015). Workplace violence towards workers in the emergency departments of Palestinian hospitals: a cross-sectional study. *Human resources for health*, *13*, 1-9.

Hassankhani, H., Parizad, N., Gacki-Smith, J., Rahmani, A., & Mohammadi, E. (2018). The consequences of violence against nurses working in the emergency department: A qualitative study. *International emergency nursing*, *39*, 20-25.

Hollywood, L., & Phillips, K. E. (2020). Nurses' resilience levels and the effects of workplace violence on patient care. *Applied nursing research*, *54*, 151321.

Johnson, J., Hansen, S., Hopper, L., Brook, L., Watson, J., & Mills, B. (2024). Aggression and violence in the emergency department: A qualitative study exploring the perspectives of frontline healthcare professionals. *Collegian*.

Kennedy, M. P. (2005). Violence in emergency departments: under-reported, unconstrained, and unconscionable. *Medical Journal of Australia*, *183*(7), 362-365.

Kim, S., Gu, M., & Sok, S. (2022). Relationships between violence experience, resilience, and the nursing performance of emergency room nurses in South Korea. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *19*(5), 2617.

Lei, Z., Yan, S., Jiang, H., Feng, J., Han, S., Herath, C., ... & Gan, Y. (2022). Prevalence and risk factors of workplace violence against emergency department nurses in China. *International journal of public health*, *67*, 1604912.

Levin, P. F., Hewitt, J. B., & Misner, S. T. (1998). Insights of nurses about assault in hospital-based emergency departments. *Image: the journal of nursing scholarship*, *30*(3), 249-254.

Mento, C., Silvestri, M. C., Bruno, A., Muscatello, M. R. A., Cedro, C., Pandolfo, G., & Zoccali, R. A. (2020). Workplace violence against healthcare professionals: A systematic review. *Aggression and violent behavior*, *51*, 101381.

Nam, M. H. (2017). Factors influencing turnover intention of clinical nurse. *Asia-pacific Journal of Multimedia Services Convergent with Art, Humanities, and Sociology*, *7*(11), 613-628.

Noorullahi, S., Safaie, N., Soltani-Kermanshahi, M., & Mansori, K. (2022). The prevalence of workplace violence and related factors in the emergency department staff of Iran: a cross-sectional study. *Middle East current psychiatry*, *29*(1), 82.

Özdamar Ünal, G., İşcan, G., & Ünal, O. (2022). The occurrence and consequences of violence against healthcare workers in Turkey: before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Family practice*, *39*(6), 1001-1008.

Powell, N., Ford, L., Rochinski, D., & McEvoy, V. (2023). The lived experience of workplace violence among emergency nurses. *Journal of emergency nursing*, *49*(3), 425-430.

Saarela, K. L., & Isotalus, N. (1999). Workplace violence in Finland: High-risk groups and preventive strategies. *American journal of industrial medicine*, *36*(S1), 80-81.

Sachdeva, S., Jamshed, N., Aggarwal, P., & Kashyap, S. R. (2019). Perception of workplace violence in the emergency department. *Journal of emergencies, trauma, and shock*, *12*(3), 179-184.

Schneider, A., Wehler, M., & Weigl, M. (2019). Effects of work conditions on provider mental well-being and quality of care: a mixed-methods intervention study in the emergency department. *BMC emergency medicine*, *19*, 1-12.

Talas, M. S., Kocaöz, S., & Akgüç, S. (2011). A survey of violence against staff working in the emergency department in Ankara, Turkey. *Asian nursing research*, *5*(4), 197-203.

Vento, S., Cainelli, F., & Vallone, A. (2020). Violence against healthcare workers: a worldwide phenomenon with serious consequences. *Frontiers in public health*, *8*, 570459.

Vrablik, M. C., Chipman, A. K., Rosenman, E. D., Simcox, N. J., Huynh, L., Moore, M., & Fernandez, R. (2019). Identification of processes that mediate the impact of workplace violence on emergency department healthcare workers in the USA: results from a qualitative study. *BMJ open*, *9*(8), e031781.

Xiao, Y., Chen, T. T., Zhu, S. Y., Li, C. Y., & Zong, L. (2024). Factors associated with workplace violence against Chinese healthcare workers: an online cross-sectional survey. *Frontiers in public health*, *12*, 1295975.

Xiao, Y., Chen, T. T., Zhu, S. Y., Zong, L., Du, N., Li, C. Y., ... & Jia, J. (2022). Workplace violence against Chinese health professionals 2013–2021: a study of national criminal judgment documents. *Frontiers in public health*, *10*, 1030035.